Case 5:19-cv-06226-EJD Document 27 Filed 01/08/20

Page 1 of 2

1	Plaintiff Neo4J, Inc. ("Plaintiff"), by and through its attorneys of record, and Defendant
2	Graph Foundation, Inc. ("Defendant"), by and through its attorney, John D. Pernick, Esq.,
3	(Plaintiff and Defendant, collectively, the "Parties"), HEREBY STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:
4	WHEREAS, Defendant filed its Answer to the Complaint on December 26, 2019.
5	WHEREAS, Under Rule 12(f)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the deadline for
6	Plaintiff to file a Motion to Strike with respect to the Answer is January 16, 2020.
7	WHEREAS, the Parties are meeting and conferring with respect to Plaintiff's claim that
8	certain of the affirmative defenses alleged in the Answer are insufficient.
9	WHEREAS, in order to give the Parties sufficient time to meet and confer on these issues
10	to determine whether an agreement can be reached, the Parties have agreed that the deadline for
11	Plaintiff to file any Motion to Strike with respect to the Answer should be extended by 14 days.
12	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED THAT:
13	1. The deadline for Plaintiff to file any Motion to Strike with respect to Defendant's
14	Answer shall be January 30, 2020.
15	D. J. J. DED GEGOVILLE
16	Dated: January 8, 2020 BERGESON, LLP
17	By: /s/ John D. Pernick
18	John D. Pernick Attorneys for Defendant
19	GRAPH FOUNDATION, INC.
20	Dated: January 8, 2020 HOPKINS & CARLEY
21	
22	By: /s/ Jeffrey M. Ratinoff  John V. Picone III
23	Jeffrey M. Ratinoff Attorneys for Plaintiff
24	NEO4J, INC. PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
25	TORSUANT TO STILL CLATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
26	DATED: January 8, 2020
27	United States District Judge
28	- 2 -